
Contents

Abbreviations and Data Note	xiii
Acknowledgments	xiv
Executive Summary	xvi
Introduction	1
Social Funds: An Innovative, Community-Based Tool	1
Objectives of the Study	5
Context of the Research	6
Outline of the Report	6
1. Overview of Social Funds	9
Definitions and Characteristics	9
General Debates about the Model	11
Description of the Six Case Study Social Funds	13
<i>The Project Cycle and Implementation Arrangements</i>	15
<i>Capacity Building and Coordination with Stakeholders</i>	17
Findings from Earlier Studies	18
<i>Measuring Workers' Gains</i>	18
<i>Expanding Access to Services</i>	19
<i>Reaching the Poor</i>	19

2. Methodology	21
Overall Approach to Study Questions	21
Research Questions	22
Evaluation Methodology	25
<i>Evaluating Poverty Targeting</i>	26
<i>Evaluating Facilities</i>	27
<i>Evaluating Household Impact</i>	28
<i>Approaches to Impact Evaluation in the Country Case Studies</i>	34
<i>Cost Study Methodology</i>	37
<i>Analysis of Participation, Perceptions, and Priorities</i>	38
Data Sources and Sample Sizes	38
<i>Data Sources</i>	40
<i>Sample Size and Design</i>	45
Costs and Time Frame	45
Implications	47
<i>Lessons on Methodology</i>	47
<i>Operational Recommendations for Evaluation</i>	48
3. Performance in Poverty Targeting	51
Research Focus	51
Geographic Targeting Performance	52
<i>Cumulative Results</i>	53
<i>Recent Trends</i>	56
<i>Variation in Spending among Districts or Municipalities</i>	58
Household Targeting Performance	59
<i>Results Based on Poverty Lines</i>	60
<i>Results Based on Income or Consumption Deciles</i>	63
<i>Results by Type of Project</i>	65
Comparison of Targeting by Social Fund and Other Programs	67
<i>Geographic Targeting</i>	68
<i>Household Targeting</i>	68
Targeting within Districts	72
Analysis of Potential versus Actual Beneficiaries	75
Implications	77
4. Education	81
Research Focus	81
Impact on Infrastructure	83
Impact on the Availability of Equipment, Furniture, and Textbooks	86
Impact on Staffing, School Size, and Student-Teacher Ratios	87

<i>Number of Students</i>	88
<i>Student-Teacher Ratios</i>	89
Impact on Educational Outcomes	90
<i>Enrollment Rates</i>	90
<i>Educational Attainment</i>	94
Sustainability	95
Implications	97
5. Health	99
Research Focus	99
Impact on Infrastructure	101
Impact on Availability of Key Inputs	103
<i>Medical Equipment and Furniture</i>	103
<i>Access to Essential Medicines and Supplies</i>	103
<i>Staffing</i>	104
Impact on Health Outcomes	106
<i>Utilization Rates</i>	106
<i>Health Outcomes</i>	112
Sustainability	114
Implications	117
6. Water and Sanitation	119
Research Focus	119
Water Systems	121
<i>Quality and Utilization</i>	121
<i>Physical and Operational Sustainability</i>	122
<i>Household-Level Impacts</i>	127
Sewerage Systems	129
<i>Supply and Utilization</i>	129
<i>Physical and Operational Sustainability</i>	131
<i>Household-Level Impacts</i>	133
Latrines	135
<i>Quality and Utilization</i>	135
<i>Household-Level Impacts</i>	136
Implications	136
<i>Water Systems</i>	136
<i>Sewerage Systems</i>	137
<i>Latrines</i>	138
7. Community Dynamics	139
Research Focus	139
Response to Community Priorities	140

<i>How Projects Are Identified</i>	140
<i>Findings</i>	142
Participation throughout the Project Cycle	145
<i>Project Identification</i>	145
<i>Design</i>	148
<i>Implementation</i>	148
<i>Operation and Maintenance</i>	150
<i>Does Participation Matter?</i>	151
Implications	153
8. Cost Analysis of Social Funds	157
Research Focus	158
<i>Complications and Caveats</i>	159
<i>Comparators</i>	160
Education	162
Health	167
Water and Sanitation	168
<i>Water Supply Systems</i>	168
<i>Sewerage and Latrines</i>	170
Program Efficiency	172
<i>Overhead Expenditures</i>	172
<i>Speed of Execution</i>	174
<i>Leveraging of Community Resources</i>	175
Implications	176
9. Conclusions	179
General Findings	179
Key Design Issues for Social Funds	180
<i>Improving Poverty Targeting</i>	181
<i>Improving the Impact</i>	181
<i>Enhancing Sustainability</i>	182
<i>Increasing Cost-Efficiency</i>	183
<i>Ensuring Relevance to Community Needs and Active</i>	
<i>Engagement of Citizens</i>	184
Key Issues for Impact Evaluation	185
Implications for the Role of Social Funds within	
Poverty Reduction Strategies	187
Appendix: Methodology for Education, Health, and	
Water and Sanitation Impact Evaluations	189
Bibliography	197

Tables

1.1	Basic Information on the Case Study Social Funds	14
2.1	Impact Evaluation Designs Applied in the Case Study Countries	31
2.2	Cost Study Sample Frames and Methodologies	38
2.3	Data Sources Used in the Country Case Studies	41
2.4	Household and Facility Sample Sizes Used for Targeting and Impact Evaluation, Treatment and Comparator Groups Combined	44
2.5	Estimated Costs of Impact Evaluations and Targeting Analyses	46
3.1	Units Used in Analysis of Geographic Targeting	53
3.2	Cumulative Geographic Distribution of Social Fund Resources by Population Decile	54
3.3	Distribution of Social Fund Beneficiaries by Population Decile	64
3.4	Distribution of Social Fund Beneficiaries by Population Decile and Type of Project, Honduras and Nicaragua	66
3.5	Distribution of Social Fund and Municipal Expenditures among Municipalities Ranked by Poverty, Bolivia	69
3.6	Distribution of Social Program Benefits among Households by Decile, Armenia, 1998	70
3.7	Distribution of Education and Health Benefits by Quintile, Nicaragua, 1998	72
4.1	Education Project Component of Total Social Fund Investment	83
4.2	Physical Condition of Social Fund and Non-Social Fund Schools, Case Study Countries in Latin America	84
4.3	Access to Safe Water and to Electricity in Social Fund and Non-Social Fund Schools	85
4.4	Students per Desk, Bolivia, Honduras, and Zambia	87
4.5	Availability of School Furniture, Zambia	87
4.6	Change in School Size	89
4.7	Student-Teacher Ratios	89
4.8	Net Primary Enrollment Rates	90
5.1	Health Project Component of Total Social Fund Investment	101
5.2	Health Facilities in Adequate or Better Condition	102
5.3	Health Facilities' Access to Utilities	102
5.4	Health Facilities' Access to Essential Medicines and Supplies	104
5.5	Staffing of Health Facilities, 1993 and 1997–98	105

5.6	Impact of Social Fund Investment on Household Utilization of Health Facilities, 1998	107
5.7	Average Daily Consultations in Urban and Rural Health Posts, Honduras, 1997	110
5.8	Health Facility Utilization Overall and by Female Patients, Nicaragua, 1993 and 1997	117
5.9	Impact of Social Fund Investments in Health Centers on Infant and Under-Five Mortality, Bolivia, 1993–97	113
5.10	Indicators of Sustainability of Health Facilities	116
5.11	Maintenance of Health Facilities, Nicaragua	116
6.1	Quality of Water Systems, Honduras, 1999	124
6.2	Impact of Social Fund Water Investments on Under-Five Mortality, Bolivia, 1993–97	128
6.3	Impact of Social Fund Water Investments on Health Outcomes and Household Access to Water, Nicaragua	130
6.4	Quality of Sewerage Systems, Honduras, 1999	132
6.5	Quality of Latrines, Honduras, 1999	135
7.1	Community Investment Priorities and Choices, by Project Type, Honduras	143
7.2	Share of Beneficiary Households Consulted about Social Fund and Other Projects, Honduras	146
7.3	Beneficiary Households Participating in Project Execution, Honduras	149
8.1	Glossary of Social Funds and Comparator Programs Used in the Cost Analysis	161
8.2	Unit Costs in School Infrastructure Projects	163
8.3	Costs of Different Approaches to Constructing School Infrastructure, Zambia	166
8.4	Unit Costs in Health Center Investment Projects	167
8.5	Unit Costs in Water Infrastructure Projects	169
8.6	Unit Costs in Sanitation Projects	171
8.7	Annual Overhead Expenditures as a Share of Total Program Cost, by Type of Implementing Program	173
8.8	Local Contributions as a Share of Direct Costs in Social Fund Projects	176
8.9	Local Contributions as a Share of Direct Costs in Social Fund and Other Projects, Nicaragua and Peru	177
A.1	Education Evaluation: Household and Facilities Sample Sizes by Country	191
A.2	Health Evaluation: Household and Facilities Sample Sizes by Country	193

A.3	Water and Sanitation Evaluation: Household and Facilities Survey Sample Sizes by Country and Subsector	194
-----	--	-----

Boxes

1	Country Case Evaluation Studies	4
2.1	Impact Evaluation Methodologies	23
2.2	Qualitative Assessments Reviewed	39
3.1	Comparing Targeting Results in Honduras Using Different Measures	61
3.2	Targeting of Social Funds to the Poor and the Poorest	62
4.1	A Parent Speaks about the Importance of Social Fund Investments in School Infrastructure	85
4.2	Poverty and Gender Aspects of the Impacts of Social Fund Investments in Education in Nicaragua	92
4.3	Indigenous Communities and Social Funds: Lessons from Education Investments in Peru	93
4.4	Comment on the Social Fund's School Investments, Honduras	94
4.5	Infrastructure Inputs and Academic Achievement in Developing Countries	96
5.1	Evidence on Supply-Side Factors Affecting Utilization of Health Care	109
5.2	Beneficiaries of Social Fund Health Posts Speak Out	110
5.3	Evidence on Supply-Side Factors Affecting Health Outcomes	115
6.1	Comparing the Sustainability of Water Systems across Countries	123
6.2	International Evidence on the Health Effects of Sewerage Investments	134
6.3	Concrete Benefits from Sanitation Projects, Honduras	136
7.1	Selection of Lower-Priority Projects: Two Cases in Honduras	144
7.2	On the Responsiveness of Local Governments in Armenia	147
7.3	The Importance of Ownership: Comments from Honduras and Zambia	150
7.4	Participation in Maintenance in Nicaragua	152
7.5	Participation and Determinants of Success in Social Fund Projects in Peru	154
8.1	Costs of Rural Water Systems in Three Andean Countries	171

9.1	The Contribution of Impact Evaluation to Program Design: Honduras and Nicaragua	186
-----	---	-----

Figures

3.1	Cumulative Geographic Distribution of Social Fund Resources by Municipal or District Poverty Decile	55
3.2	Change in Geographic Targeting over Time	57
3.3	Household Targeting by Social Funds	65
3.4	Geographic Poverty Targeting by the Social Fund and Two Other Social Programs, Peru, 1995	69
3.5	Household Targeting by the Social Fund and Two Other Social Programs, Peru	71
3.6	Intradistrict Targeting by the Social Fund and Two Other Social Programs, Peru	73
3.7	Intradistrict Targeting by the Social Fund and Two Other Social Programs, by District Income Group, Peru	74
3.8	Household-Level Poverty Targeting by Sector, Social Funds in Honduras and Nicaragua	76
6.1	Household Perceptions of FONCODES Sewerage Systems in Peru	133