

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Background</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1	Evaluation safeguards quality	5
1.2	The aim and structure of the report	6
1.3	The variety of evaluation concepts	7
<b>2</b>	<b>The contexts of institutional evaluations (<i>Ossi Lindqvist</i>)</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Country-specific use of institutional evaluations</b>	<b>12</b>
3.1	FINLAND: Institutional Evaluation of Finnish Universities ( <i>Anna-Maija Liuhanen</i> )	12
3.2	FRANCE: Institutional evaluations: the French context	17
3.3	IRELAND: Institutional Reviews – National Council for Educational Awards	19
3.4	NORWAY: Institutional evaluations in Norwegian higher education ( <i>Jon Haakstad</i> )	22
3.5	SWEDEN: Academic Audit in Sweden ( <i>Staffan Wahlén</i> )	26
3.6	UNITED KINGDOM: Institutional reviews	29
3.7	ASSOCIATION OF EUROPEAN UNIVERSITIES: CRE institutional reviews – a tool for change ( <i>Sami Kanaan</i> )	34
<b>4</b>	<b>Conclusion (<i>Kauko Hämäläinen and Staffan Wahlén</i>)</b>	<b>38</b>
4.1	Purpose of institutional evaluations	38
4.2	Features of evaluation	39
4.3	What is the future?	39