

Contents

1	Introduction	5
1.1	Presentation of the Nordic Network	5
1.2	Background for the project	5
1.3	Project objectives	6
1.4	Terminology	6
1.5	The method	7
2	The experiences with the method	8
2.1	The findings of the two panels in relation to the appropriateness of the method	8
2.1.1	<i>Criteria for mutual recognition</i>	8
2.1.2	<i>Experts involved in the project</i>	9
2.1.3	<i>Self-study</i>	9
2.1.4	<i>Documentation</i>	10
2.1.5	<i>Site-visit</i>	10
2.1.6	<i>The report</i>	10
2.1.7	<i>Learning: a welcomed side-effect</i>	11
3	Prospects for a formal mutual recognition	12
3.1	Scenarios for mutual recognition	12
3.1.1	<i>Scenario one: Bilateral mutual recognition</i>	13
3.1.2	<i>Scenario two: Bilateral mutual recognition within a multilateral methodological framework</i>	13
3.1.3	<i>Scenario three: Multilateral decision-making on mutual recognition based on a bilateral process</i>	14
3.1.4	<i>Scenario four: Multilateral mutual recognition based on a multilateral responsibility for the review process</i>	14
3.2	Focus of the mutual recognition	14
3.3	Initiatives to support mutual recognition	15
4	Recommendations	17
5	Final reflections	18
6	Annexes	20
A	Provisions	20
B1	Through the Looking Glass – Comments on the Nordic Pilot Project on Mutual Recognition	22
B2	A Method for Mutual Recognition – External comment on a project of the Nordic Quality Assurance Agencies	30
C	Self-study	38
D	Guidelines for the external panel in the Nordic pilot project on Mutual Recognition	41
E1	Programme of 4–6 Feb. 2002 site-visit	43
E2	Programme of 14–15 Feb. 2002 site-visit	43